

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/722,072		11/24/2003	Ludger Gehringhoff	GEHRINGHOFF-8	4168	
20151	7590	01/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HENRY M 350 FIFTH		ISEN, LLC	PATEL, P	PATEL, KIRAN B		
SUITE 4714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK	K, NY 10	0118	3612	3612		
				DATE MAILED 01/04/000	DATE MAN ED ONOMO	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/722,072	GEHRINGHOFF ET AL	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Kiran B. Patel	3612	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	;
THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) No e, cause the application to become	r a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this commun B ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 /	November 2004.		
2a)□	•	s action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are withdo			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-8 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the draw	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	121(d).
. 11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attac	ned Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No en received in this National Stag	e
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	I

Application/Control Number: 10/722,072

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Species A, Fig 1, 3, and claims 1-2,
 4-8, is acknowledged.

Claims 3 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37

CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Further, claims 4 is withdrawn by the Examiner from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Species

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-2, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bullman et al. (2003/0178273).

Regarding claims 1-2, 6-8, Bullman et al. (2003/0178273) discloses in Fig. 1-4 the invention as claimed to include a side impact beam 1, a profiled section of sheet metal 2, 1-3 mm thick, two longitudinal beads 3, 4, two flanks Fig 1-4, a center web 5, an angle Fig 2, longitudinal edges 6, 7 and flanges 8, 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bullman et al. (2003/0178273) in view of Bush (3,868,796).

Art Unit: 3612

Regarding claims 5, Bullman et al. (2003/0178273) discloses the invention as claimed to include apex of each bead being curved to form an arc.

However, Bullman et al. (2003/0178273) does not disclose an apex of center bead being curved to form an arc.

Bush (3,868,796) discloses in Fig 1-3 an apex of center bead being curved to form an arc.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention, as disclosed by Bullman et al. (2003/0178273), to include an apex of center bead being curved to form an arc, as disclosed by Bush (3,868,796), to optimize the level of reinforcement for the side impact beam.

<u>Conclusion</u>

4. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.

Art Unit: 3612

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

December 27, 2004